Deer Misses Brunson and Cibson,

Lourninteresting letter of 3/20 on the ambulance and stretcheers and the offer prompts me to accept the offers, as of now.

I think it could be helpful to have a memo or just these things, on hand, ready for use. I would like to ask that your include a copy, identified with your names, that I can take to ex-Orleans when next I got there.

I would like to to cite the source of overything, at first reference, using, if it is agreeable, the system I use, viz 18H227-3, in parens.

Could you also include all references to the emileptic scizure?

If you can find some way of identifying deduction or conjecture, that, too, could be helpful to others using it. I do not suggest sending such a name directly to Egrison, for he is bogged down and the chances are he'd not see it and it would get into the files. I'd like to tell him what is is, give it to him, and let him read of file it. In either event, he'd know he has it.

Your second peregraph is dediction, not fact, although the deduction may be correct. I refer to the third to the last sentence, the use of the xmds words, "This makes it explicit", etc. You are assuming that under that great pressure and stress there was no error, honest or otherwise. This is what I near in asking that you distinguish in some way between what you include that is your opinion and senething you cuote, for if Jim were to use something like this in court emilitationed out to be wrong it could be quite hurtful to him in to what we all went.

Dick Sprague has sent we a copy of his letter to you. I think he has stated remarkably well what I have long felt (may I refer you to WW, Ch. 9:). Eyevitnesses to great an unexpected tragedies are often the least dependable sources, and not because they intend to lie.

Dick's letter also makes clear how helpful your work is, and I join him in that also.

Welcome to mary!

Perhaps, sometimes, you can send me pictures of each or the two of you for my files, for the future

Sincerely,